

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 04265 121251Z

46

ACTION EUR-10

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 EURE-00 NSC-10 NSCE-00 PM-03 L-02

CIAE-00 INR-10 NSAE-00 RSC-01 DODE-00 SAJ-01 TRSE-00

ACDA-10 EB-03 SPC-01 OMB-01 NEA-06 CIEP-01 CEA-01

PRS-01 DRC-01 /077 W

----- 071052

P R 121118Z SEP 73

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 1531

INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS 3276

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 4265

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS, 12-31-79

TAGS: PFOR, NATO

SUBJECT: ATLANTIC RELATIONS

1. SYG LUNS AND BELGIAN AMBASSADOR DE STAERCKE HELPFULLY GUIDIED DISCUSSION OF ATLANTIC RELATIONS AT SEPTMEBER 11 PERMREPS LUNCH. LUNS SAID THE EC MINISTERIAL MEETING IN COPENHAGEN INDICATES THAT THE "NATO EIGHT" PLUS IRELAND ARE MOVING TOWARD A POSITIVE RESPONSE TO THE U.S. INITIATIVE ON ATLANTIC RELATIONS. THUS, IT WAS IMPORTANT FOR NATO TO FOLLOW TIS EXAMPLE AND MAKE MORE PROGRESS TOWARD A RESPONSE OF THE FIFTEEN. LUNS INDICATED HE HAD A DRAFT DECLARATION ON PAPER, WHICH HE WAS THINKING OF CIRCULATING.

2. DE STAERCKE ECHOED LUNS AS TO EC PROGRESS IN RESPONDING TO U.S. AS TO NATO, DE STAERCKE ASKED LUNS TO SET A TARGET DEATE, PERHAPS OCTOBER 1, FOR ALL DELEGATIONS TO GET INSTRUCTIONS FROM CAPITALS AND SUBMIT THEIR IDEAS TO THE NAC ON ELEMENTS TO BE INCLUDED IN DECLARATION FOR SUBSEQUENT DISCUSSION AND SYNTHESIS. HE CAUTIONED LUNS AGAINST CIRCULATING THE CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 04265 121251Z

DRAFT DECLARATION HE HAD MENTIONED.

3. LUNS SAID HE WOULD SUGGEST TO NAC ON SEPTEMBER 12 A TARGET DATE FOR NATIONAL SUBMISSIONS. HE SAID THE FINAL DOCUMENT

SHOULD MENTION TRADE AND ECONOMIC MATTERS BECAUSE THEY ARE LINKED TO POLITICAL AND DEFENSE MATTERS BY REALITY, AS DR. KISSINGER HAD SAID.

4. IN THE ENSUING DISCUSSION OF A DEADLINE FOR NATIONAL CONTRIBUTIONS, THE NETHERLANDS AND FRG PERMREPS THOUGHT OCTOBER 1 WAS TOO LATE, WHILE FRENCH AMBASSADOR DE ROSE SAID, "AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED, THE LATER THE BETTER."

5. CANADIAN AMBASSADOR SAID "MANY NATIONS" BELIEVE THAT ALTHOUGH THE U.S. HAD "COURTEOUSLY" ADDRESSED ITS ATLANTIC INVITATION TO ALL, THE U.S. REALLY MEANT TO HAVE A DIALOGUE WITH THE NINE. I SAID THAT THE U.S. INVITATION MEANT WHAT IT SAID, THAT IT WAS NOT RESTRICTED TO THE NINE, THAT THE GOAL IS TO ENSURE PEACE, THAT THAT REQUIRED STABILITY AND A SOLID FOOTING FOR THE ATLANTIC PARTNERSHIP. AND, THE WAY TO ACHIEVE THAT GOAL IS TO ENGAGE IN A DISCUSSION AMONG THE NATIONS CONCERNED, NOT JUST THE NINE, TO WORK TO ESTABLISH A COMMONLY AGREED UPON ATLANTIC POLICY FRAMEWORK THAT IS SUSTAINABLE FOR THE PERIOD AHEAD.

6. LUNS AND DE STAERCKE ARE PRESSING FOR PROGRESS, MOVEMENT, AND PROMPT SUBMISSION OF IDEAS FROM OTHER NATO NATIONS, BUT, HELPFULLY, ARE CAREFULLY STOPPING SHORT OF PRESSING FOR A FINAL DOCUMENT OR FINAL DECISIONS, THUS ENABLING U.S. TO RETAIN A HIGH DEGREE OF FLEXIBILITY IN REMAINING STAGES OF THE DIALOGUE.
RUMSFELD

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 02 APR 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 12 SEP 1973
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: boyleja
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973NATO04265
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS, 12-31-79
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730962/abqcebzo.tel
Line Count: 85
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 2
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: LIMDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: boyleja
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 17 AUG 2001
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <17-Aug-2001 by elyme>; APPROVED <24-Sep-2001 by boyleja>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: ATLANTIC RELATIONS
TAGS: PFOR, NATO
To: STATE PRIORITY INFO ALL NATO CAPITALS
EC BRUSSELS
Type: TE
Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005